

**A66 Northern Trans-Pennine Project
TR010062**

**7.19 Project Design Principles Change
Log (Rev 2)**

Planning Act 2008

The Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010

4 April 2023

Infrastructure Planning

Planning Act 2008

**The Infrastructure Planning
(Examination Procedure)
Rules 2010**

A66 Northern Trans-Pennine Project
Development Consent Order 202x

7.19 Project Design Principles Change Log

Planning Inspectorate Scheme Reference	TR010062
Application Document Reference	7.19
Author:	A66 Northern Trans-Pennine Project Team, National Highways

Version	Date	Status of Version
Rev 1	24 January 2023	Deadline 3
Rev 2	4 April 2023	Deadline 6

Contents

1. Introduction.....	1
Purpose of this document.....	1
2 Development Consent Order Project Design Principles Change Log	2

1. Introduction

Purpose of this document

- 1.1.1 This document, the Project Design Principles (PDP) Change Log, sets out and explains the changes to the PDP that have been made in Revision 3 of the PDP (Document Reference 5.11, Rev 3) (submitted at Deadline 6) when compared to the version of the PDP submitted at Deadline 3 (Document Reference 5.11 – Rev 2, REP3-040).
- 1.1.2 The DCO application (the Application) was submitted by National Highways (the Applicant), to the Secretary of State through the Planning Inspectorate on 21 June 2022 and was accepted for examination on 19 July 2022.
- 1.1.3 A number of stakeholders and interested parties have made further comments about the content of the PDP since Deadline 3, through the Examination hearings and post-hearing submissions, Statements of Common Ground (SOCG) and Principal Areas of Disagreement Statements (PADS). A number of amendments have been proposed to the PDP to address some of these comments and these are reflected in revision 3 of the PDP submitted at Deadline 6.
- 1.1.4 This Change Log sets out each substantive change made to the PDP, the source of that change, and an explanation of why the change has been made. Where minor changes have been made to deal with clarity or correcting typographical errors, these are not noted in the Change Log.
- 1.1.5 This Change Log does not make reference to comments that have been raised by a third party where a change has not been made to the PDP in response to it. This has either been explained in National Highways direct responses to examination submissions, or engagement is ongoing.
- 1.1.6 This Change Log should be read alongside the updated version of the Project Design Principles (Document Reference 5.11, Rev 3) and is correct as of Deadline 6 of the Examination.

2 Development Consent Order Project Design Principles Change Log

Table 2-1: Development Consent Order Project Design Principles Change Log

Section Amended in Revised Document	Source of Comment of Reason for Amendment (Examination Library Reference)	Brief Description and Explanation of Change
Section 3.2: Theme A - Principle LI04	N/A	Amended wording to LI04 to add clarification on the requirement for 5.7m vertical clearance on structures and only where there is a need to remove the need to design the superstructure for impact loading and to align better with the DMRB standards. This amendment does not materially change the principle or its function.
Section 3.2: Theme A - Principle LI08	N/A	This change includes relocating the first half of the principle to another section of the report. The text talks about the sustainability of structures and is better suited to Section 3.5: Theme D within Adaptability and future-proofing. The remainder of the principle is retained and appropriate for this section and relates to Landscape integration. This amendment does not materially change the principle or its function.
Section 3.2: Theme A - Principle LI10	N/A	Amended wording to LI10 to add clarification on the requirement to consult with NH, EA and NE, and further clarity on specifying exactly when consultation would be required by each of those bodies. This amendment is to add clarity and does not materially change the principle or its function.
Section 3.2: Theme A - Principle LI16	Environment Agency request (REP5-065)	Removal of 'where reasonably practicable' in regard to the strategic planting associated with attenuation ponds and the need to be a native species palette local to the catchment, to address a comment from the Environment Agency through their Deadline 5 Submission and Principal Areas of Disagreement Statements (REP5-065).
Section 3.2: Theme A - Principle LI17	Environment Agency request (REP5-065).	Additional text to include 'New hard drainage structures will be designed to avoid any increased risk of erosion within watercourses' in regard to hard drainage structures, to address a comment from the Environment Agency through their Deadline 5 Submission and Principal Areas of Disagreement Statements (REP5-065).
Section 3.4: Theme C – Principle BNG01	N/A	After publication of the latest Defra biodiversity metric (4.0) the principle has been amended to remove reference to metric 3.1 and clarify the use of the relevant Defra Biodiversity Metric is to be used to cover any future changes. This amendment is to add clarity and does not materially change the principle or its function.

Section Amended in Revised Document	Source of Comment of Reason for Amendment (Examination Library Reference)	Brief Description and Explanation of Change
Section 3.4: Theme C – Principle BNG02	N/A	After publication of the latest Defra biodiversity metric (4.0) the principle has been amended to remove reference to metric 3.1 and clarify the use of the relevant Defra Biodiversity Metric is to be used to cover any future changes. No material change to the principle or its function.
Section 3.5: Theme D – Principle AF03	N/A	This change includes a new principle but with text taken from the Deadline 3 PDP (Document Reference 5.11 – Rev 2, REP3-040) from Section 3.2: Theme A - Principle LI08, the wording is in regard to the sustainability of structures which is better suited and located in Section 3.5: Theme D within Adaptability and future-proofing. The wording has not been changed it has only been relocated. This amendment does not materially change the principle or its function.
Section 4.1: M6 Junction to Kemplay Bank (scheme 1 and 2): Principle 0102.05	Historic England request	Additional text to give further clarification on the reinstatement of the existing parkland character and environment/landscape at Carleton Hall - 'Any reinstatement of the landscape at Carleton Hall and Park after implementation of the scheme must reflect this parkland character, these planting types and landscape elements.' – to address a comment from Historic England.
Section 4.1: M6 Junction to Kemplay Bank (scheme 1 and 2): Principle 0102.11	Cumbria County Council and Eden District Council request (REP5-035)	A new principle to seek to minimise encroachment from drainage upgrades/ connections within the county wildlife site at Skirsgill Wood to address a comment from CCC and EDC highlighted within their Deadline 5 Submission - Post-hearing submissions including written submissions of oral cases - (REP5-035).
Section 4.3: Temple Sowerby to Appleby (scheme 4 and 5): Principle 0405.04	Environment Agency request (REP5-065)	Amended wording to add clarification on the top of river bank level and to include additional wording in regards to the 2.5m minimum vertical clearance and so that it takes into account the 1 in 100 year plus climate change flood level as reported in the ES to address a comment from the Environment Agency through their Deadline 5 Submission and Principal Areas of Disagreement Statements (REP5-065).
Section 4.3: Temple Sowerby to Appleby (scheme 4 and 5): Principle 0405.05	N/A	Amended wording to add clarification on the noise barrier at Kirkby Thore and to ensure the false cutting and earthworks within this area act as an appropriate acoustic barrier whilst not impacting the views from Kirkby Thore of the Pennines to the north and have regard to the findings within the LVIA. This amendment is to add clarity and does not materially change the principle or its function.
Section 4.3: Temple Sowerby to Appleby (scheme 4 and 5): Principle 0405.17	Cumbria County Council and Eden District Council request (REP5-035)	A new principle to minimise encroachment from drainage upgrades/ connections within the county wildlife site at Chapel Wood to address a comment from CCC and EDC highlighted within their Deadline 5 Submission - Post-hearing submissions including written submissions of oral cases - (REP5-035).

Section Amended in Revised Document	Source of Comment of Reason for Amendment (Examination Library Reference)	Brief Description and Explanation of Change
Section 4.4: Appleby to Brough (scheme 6): Principle 06.07	Environment Agency request (REP5-065)	Additional text to include 'wider flood storage functions' in regards to structures crossing sensitive watercourses and to ensure normal river processes and flood flows are maintained to address a comment from the Environment Agency through their Deadline 5 Submission and Principal Areas of Disagreement Statements (REP5-065).
Section 4.6: Principle 08.18	Historic England request	This principle (Principle 08.18) was removed in the Rev.2 deadline 3 submission of the PDP (Document Reference 5.11 – Rev 2, REP3-040) but has since been discussed with Historic England through the SOCG process and subsequently added back into the PDP for this Rev.3 Deadline 6 submission - Roundabout at Rokeby to include sensitive lighting and signage provision.